

**STATE OF VERMONT
PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD**

Petition of Champlain VT, LLC d/b/a TDI New England)
for a Certificate of Public Good, pursuant to 30 V.S.A. §248,)
authorizing the installation and operation of a high voltage)
direct current (HVDC) underwater and underground electric)
transmission line with a capacity of 1,000 MW, a converter)
station, and other associated facilities, to be located in Lake)
Champlain and in the Counties of Grand Isle, Chittenden,)
Addison, Rutland, and Windsor, Vermont, and to be known)
as the New England Clean Power Link Project (“NECPL”))

Docket No. 8400

**SUPPLEMENTAL PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
KRISTEN HEITERT**

ON BEHALF OF CHAMPLAIN VT, LLC

August 26, 2015

Summary:

Ms. Heitert’s supplemental testimony addresses the Stipulation reached between TDI-NE and the Division for Historic Preservation, including proposed CPG conditions, and provides an update on the conclusions in her original testimony.

1 **Q. Please state your name, occupation and business address.**

2 Response: My name is Kristen B. Heitert, and I am a senior archaeologist/principal
3 investigator at The Public Archaeology Laboratory, Inc. (“PAL”) located at 26 Main Street,
4 Pawtucket, Rhode Island. I directed the Phase IA archaeological reconnaissance survey for
5 the proposed New England Clean Power Link Project (“NECPL” or “Project”).
6

7 **Q. Have you previously filed testimony in this proceeding?**

8 Response: Yes, I submitted prefiled direct testimony on behalf of Champlain VT, LLC
9 d/b/a TDI New England concerning the New England Clean Power Link (“NECPL”)
10 Project on December 8, 2014.
11

12 **Q. What is the purpose of your supplemental testimony?**

13 Response: The purpose of my testimony is to provide an update on the conclusions in my
14 original testimony with regard to proposed CPG conditions jointly agreed to between TDI-
15 NE and the Division for Historic Preservation (“DHP”) as part of the stipulation agreement
16 between TDI-NE and the Department of Public Service (“DPS”), the Agency of Natural
17 Resources (“ANR”) and DHP on July 17, 2015 and submitted as *Exhibit TDI-JMB-19a*.
18

19 **Q. Have you reviewed the conditions regarding archeological resources included as**
20 **Attachment III to the Stipulation between TDI-NE, DPS, ANR, and DHP?**

21 Response: Yes, I have reviewed the conditions included in Attachment III of *Exhibit TDI-*
22 *JMB-19a*.

1 **Q. In your opinion, do these conditions adequately address any outstanding issues with**
2 **regard to archeological resources along the overland route of the Project?**

3 Response: Yes, I believe that these conditions adequately address any outstanding issues and
4 fulfill the recommendations I proposed in my previous testimony.

5

6 **Q. In your professional opinion, assuming TDI-NE implements these conditions as it**
7 **has agreed to do, does it remain your conclusion that the Project will not have an undue**
8 **adverse impact on archeological resources?**

9 Response: Yes, if TDI-NE implements these conditions, it is my professional opinion that
10 the Project may be constructed without causing any undue adverse impacts to archaeological
11 resources.

12

13 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony at this time?**

14 Response: Yes, it does.